

The Effect of Dictation Method on Students' Writing Ability in English for Office

Frisca Andini¹ Dina Irmayanti Hrp²

English Education, Potensi Utama University, Kota Medan, Sumatra Utara 20241, Indonesia ^{1,2} Email: friscaandini771@gmail.com¹ dinairmayanti4@gmail.com²

Abstract

This research was aimed to investigate The Effect of Dictation Method on Students' Writing Ability. This study was carried out at SMK PAB 2 Helvetia. The populations of this research were the students of Vocational High School grade X. The populations of this research were 115 students, but the researcher took only 79 students as the sample which divided into two parallel classes and this group namely experimental and control groups. This research took the results of the pre-test and post-text values as a determinant the effect of dictation method on writing ability in English for Office. Each class consists of 39 to 40 students. This research data was obtained after the pre-test and post-test were applied to the experimental and control groups. The data needed to test the hypothesis is taken from the test scores of each group. Based on the Mann-Whitney Test it is known mark Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed) between Post Test Classes Experiment with Class Post Test Control is 0.44, and because 0.44 0.05 then can said that Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted , or in other words: the dictation method gave a positive and significant impact on students' writing ability in English for Office.

Keyword: Dictation Method, Writing Ability, English for Office



This work is licensed under a Lisensi Creative Commons Atribusi-Berbagi Serupa 4.0 Internasional.

INTRODUCTION

The inclusion of English as a compulsory subject in public schools contributes to the advancement of science, technology and culture. The main purpose of teaching English in the school curriculum is to encourage the improvement of students' language skills. The basic skills of English language acquisition are often taught in an integrated manner, covering listening, speaking, reading and writing (Farrell & Jacobs, 2020). In order to be able to write and communicate effectively with others, it is crucial for students to acquire and develop this important skill. Proficiency in writing has emerged as an essential skill in the process of creating written outcomes (Doan & Hamid, 2021). Writing is a valuable tool for fostering critical and analytical thinking skills in kids, as well as enhancing their ability to construct well-formed sentences.

Harmer (2004) asserts that writing serves as a means of generating language and articulating ideas, emotions, and viewpoints. According to Indrilla & Ciptaningrum (2018), writing abilities refer to the capacity to effectively communicate ideas, opinions, and emotions to external individuals or groups through the medium of written language. Furthermore, according to Tarigan (1986), writing skills encompass the productive and expressive aspects of language, allowing individuals to communicate indirectly rather than through face-to-face interactions with others. According to Troyka, as cited in Peltola et al. (2011), writing serves as a means of conveying a message to a reader with a certain intention. The objectives of writing encompass self-expression, the dissemination of information, persuasive communication, and the creation of literary compositions. Moreover, as stated by Suparno (2008), writing may be defined as the process of conveying messages through the use of written language as a medium or tool for communication. Written communication involves several key elements: the author, the written message, the channel or medium used for writing, and the readers who receive the message. This tool serves as a means of communication with individuals.



Students' low interest and lack ability in expressing their ideas logically, using the appropiate words and producing accurate spelling and correct punctuation are some indicators showing that the unsuccessful of teaching writing (Seyoum et al., 2022). Besides, during writing class, it was identified that: (1) students get bored with the monotonous strategy of teaching; (2) teacher does not use interesting media in teaching writing; and (3) students do not like English subject expecially writing skill because they think it is a difficult one. The English language encompasses four fundamental skills, namely speaking, reading, writing, and listening (Mandasari & Aminatun, 2020). The remaining parts, namely grammar, vocabulary, and pronunciation, are anticipated to facilitate rapid language acquisition among pupils. Writing is an integral component of competency and holds significant importance, particularly in the context of English language acquisition. The acquisition of writing skills enables students to develop an understanding of syntax and sentence structure. Writing is a cognitive process that involves the creation of visual symbols as a means of communication, distinct from oral discourse. The skill of writing is often acknowledged as being among the most challenging. Dictation is a valuable pedagogical exercise for students, involving the process of transcribing spoken words or passages verbatim (Jia & Hew, 2022). This activity not only allows students to practice their listening skills, but also provides them with several opportunities to enhance their writing abilities. The utilization of dictation as a pedagogical method for language acquisition has a long-standing history.

Dictation is a versatile and valuable pedagogical tool that researchers can employ for many purposes (Smeplass, 2023). It can be utilized to introduce unfamiliar grammatical structures, present the initial paragraph of a written piece, review certain vocabulary domains, offer a concise summary of a reading or listening comprehension exercise, and facilitate the development of writing proficiency. The implementation of dictation as a pedagogical approach can assist in identifying and rectifying instances where pupils may exhibit a lack of attentiveness during classroom instruction (Yu et al., 2022). The utilization of the dictation method serves to enhance attentiveness among students, as it motivates them to direct their focus towards the teacher's instructions and transcribe the material being dictated. According to Mohr & Mohr (2007), Sometimes the teacher needs taking time to make students able to expressing themselves. They need real condition in learning and have proudness after learning something. They need fun interactions and interactive media to express their imagination. The lack of practice is also considered as the problem in their writing. The teacher does not give enough writing practices both in the classroom and outside the classroom.

In actuality, a considerable number of students continue to encounter challenges in acquiring proficiency in the English language, particularly in the domain of writing. One of the challenges encountered in educational settings is the limited vocabulary proficiency exhibited by students. Hence, pupils encounter challenges when it comes to the construction of paragraphs. Individuals may encounter challenges in organizing their thoughts and expressing them coherently in relation to a given subject matter. Additionally, they may experience difficulties in constructing phrases that are directly relevant to the topic at hand. English and Indonesian exhibit basic differences in terms of their structure, pronunciation, and lexicon. Furthermore, it is observed that pupils often struggle to retain the semantic understanding of words that have been previously instructed or practiced. Regrettably, within several professional domains, a significant proportion of students encounter difficulties in effectively articulating their views and opinions due to limited experience in verbal communication.

This phenomenon is typically attributed to a restricted lexicon. Vocabulary constitutes fundamental English knowledge that students must acquire as a primary step in their learning process. This intervention is expected to facilitate effective English language acquisition among



pupils. In addition to this, a common issue frequently encountered is when pupils possess an understanding of a word's definition, yet struggle to accurately predict its orthography or ascertain the exact spelling. This study examines the efficacy of words taught in spelling sessions, with a focus on their frequency of use in the English language. The significance of spelling rules can be effectively demonstrated through the utilization of illustrative instances, provided that they are implemented when composing the words under examination. The utilization of words spoken in a colloquial manner compels students to engage in critical thinking and employ the principles they have acquired. The author gathered data regarding the acquisition of writing skills in the educational settings of classes X OTKP 1 and X OTKP 2.

The individuals acknowledged experiencing a sense of lethargy and a dearth of desire in regards to acquiring writing skills. Another issue pertains to the methodology. The study revealed that educators failed to employ engaging instructional strategies in the teaching of writing to pupils. Many students experience a sense of ennui in response to the instructional strategies employed by their educators. In addition to this, the aforementioned approach does not effectively facilitate English language acquisition among pupils, particularly in the realm of writing skills. In order to address the many challenges encountered by students, it is imperative for educators to employ effective teaching strategies during the instructional process. These methods are essential for fostering student engagement and promoting their interest in the subject matter being presented. Through the implementation of appropriate methodologies, educators are capable of effectively facilitating the teaching and learning process, hence yielding desirable outcomes. One of the techniques provided is dictation. Dictation is anticipated to be advantageous in facilitating the enhancement of students' writing proficiency. Dictation refers to the process of transcribing spoken words or written text while they are being articulated or read aloud. The utilization of dictation as a pedagogical approach in the field of writing instruction has gained significant popularity in recent times, with the aim of enhancing students' proficiency in written expression. Dictation exercises have the potential to enhance students' ability to discern and rectify grammatical, spelling, and punctuation mistakes present in their written work. In essence, the practice of dictation serves as a beneficial exercise for students who possess a non-native language background, aiding them in accurately transcribing oral discourse into written form (Kasransyah, 2016).

The dictation method can help students organize, set goals, and write letters using the dictation method. This simple method can be interesting and useful for students, students can make a letter with their respective writing abilities to match how well they understand what the teacher dictates. They can focus on the teacher and class so they don't get distracted by things that help them concentrate. The act of writing also helps students process and remember what sentences the teacher dictates independently. Given the aforementioned concerns, it is imperative to undertake a research investigation aimed at enhancing the writing proficiency of Grade X students at SMK PAB 2 Helvetia. This can be achieved by employing the dictation method, which has the potential to facilitate the development of students' writing skills through the stimulation of idea generation, vocabulary expansion, and heightened interest in writing.

RESEARCH METHODS

The study employed a quantitative research strategy. Quantitative research involves the collection of data through the utilization of statistical methods. The objective of this study was to investigate the impact of different dictation methods on the English writing proficiency of students in an office setting. The present study was carried out in SMK PAB 2 Helvetia, situated on Jalan Veteran Pasar IV Helvetia, Kecamatan Sunggal, Kabupaten Deli Serdang, Medan, Sumatra Utara.



The researcher employed a quasi-experimental research approach, specifically utilizing a non-equivalent control group design. Quasi-experimental research refers to a methodology that involves administering a treatment and subsequently measuring its effects, but does not employ random sampling techniques to establish causal relationships between the treatment and observed changes. Quasi-experimental research designs typically entail the inclusion of two or more sample groups. Specifically, one group is assigned to receive a treatment, while another group serves as a control group and does not get the treatment. The non-equivalent group design employs a pre-test to assess the experimental and control groups, with the intention of utilizing the obtained data as a foundation for evaluating any further changes. In the present research design, the assignment of participants to experimental and control groups is non-random. Despite this non-random assignment, the design involves a comparison between the experimental and control groups. Both groups were administered a pre-test, followed by the administration of a therapy, and subsequently given a post-test.

This study was conducted in two distinct academic settings. The initial class constituted an experimental group. The second class served as the control group. This study utilized the pre-test and post-test scores to assess the efficacy of the Dictation Method in enhancing students' English writing proficiency for office purposes. The researcher administered a pre-test and post-test in order to assess the change or impact within two groups. The pre-test was administered to both the control class and experimental class prior to any intervention. The administration of the therapy was restricted only to the experimental group. Subsequently, a post-test was administered to both classes with the aim of assessing the improvement made by each group.

RESULTS OF RESEARCH AND DISCUSSION Research Results

Result of Normality and Homogeneity Test

Testing hypothesis in This research uses statistics parametric because the data will tested in the form of a ratio. Test normality used For study normality variables studied $_$ what is that data ? normally distributed or no . That thing important Because if the data every variable not normal, then testing hypothesis No Can use statistics parametric (Sugiyono, 2019). Before testing done moreover formerly determined level significant or level real . This is done For make something plan testing so you can is known boundaries For determine choice between Ho and Ha. In this research , level selected reality $_$ is 0.05 or 5% because can represent connection between variables studied $_$ And is something significance . So level the truth stated by writer is 0.95 or 95%.

Table 1 Test Results Normality

Kolmogorov- Smirnov a	Shapiro-Wilk					
	Statistics	Df	Sig.	Statistics	df	Sig.
Pre Test Experiment	,178	39	,003	,889	39	,001
Post Test Experiment	.138	39	,059	,901	39	,002
Pre Test Control	,129	40	,093	,926	40	.012
Post Control Test	,150	40	.024	,956	40	.125

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Based on Table 1 is known that on test results Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality, exists one sig. which is more small of 0.05, i.e on Pre Test Experiment Class, and because the Sig of the Experimental Pre Test Class (0.003) < 0.05, the data is said to be No normally distributed, and by because the data doesn't normally distributed.



The Homogeneity Test

Test homogeneity used for know is a number of variant population is the same or no . This test is carried out as condition in independent sample t test analysis and Anova (Ghozali, 2017). Underlying assumptions _ in analysis variance (Anova) is that variant from population are the same. Test similarity of two variances used For test is the distribution of the data is homogeneous or no , ie with compare second the variance . Test homogeneity done For show that differences that occur on test parametric statistics (eg t test , Anava , Anacova) absolutely happen consequence exists difference between group , no as consequence difference in group (Sianturi, 2022).

Table 2 Test Result of Post Test

T test for equality of Means											
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Mean	T	df	Sig. (2				
				Eror			tailed)				
Pair 1	Pretest_Postest	52.33	23,737	,042	18,569	39	0,000				

Based on Table 3 is known that calculated t value from the results of the t test on class experiment is 18.569 with Sig 0.000. As for the t table from class experiment is 1.68709 with Sig 0.05, and by because t count > t table and Sig < 0.05 then Ho is rejected and Ha is accepted, or in other words you can It is said: the dictation method is influential in a way positive And significant impact on students' writing ability in English for Office.

Research Finding

Based on descriptive analysis tests, it was known that there are differences in learning outcomes between the Control Class without treatment and the Experimental Class with special treatment (application of the dictation method). This was evidenced by the increase in the value between the Mean Pre Test for the Experimental Class and the Mean Post Test for the Experimental Class, namely 14.34 and from the increase in the value between the Mean Pre Test for the Control Class and the Mean Post Test for the Control Class, namely 11.61. Based on the results of the normality test, it is known that the Pre-Experimental Test, and because the Sig of the Pre-Experimental Test Class (0.003) is <0.05, the data is said to be not normally distributed, and because the data is not normally distributed. Based on the Homogeneity of Variance Test, It was known that the Sig. of Base on Mean is 0.140, and because the Sig. From Base on Mean (0.140) > 0.05, it can be said that the experimental class data and control class data are not homogeneous. Based on the results of the t test on class experiment is known that calculated t value (18.569) > t table (1.68709) and Sig (0.000) < 0.05, then can said that influential dictation method in a way positive And significant impact on students' writing ability in English for Office, and based on comparison the value of Standardized Coefficients Beta, is known that Standardized Coefficients Beta value at class Experiment (0.950) more big from Standardized Coefficients Beta value at class Control (0.926). This indicates that use dictation merthod own more influence to students' writing skills in English for Office when compared to with influence English teaching without use dictation method.

Discussion

Writing ability is the writer's ability to use pen and paper to express ideas through symbols. There were several method to increase students' writing ability, one of them is by using dictation method. Dictation method is described as an integrated assessment of listening and writing, however the main skill assessed during dictation is writing. The results of this



study showed that the effect of dictation method in increase students' writing ability has a positive and significant impact on students' writing ability in English for Office . Based on the results of the t test on class experiment is known that calculated t value (18.569) > t table (1.68709) and Sig (0.000) < 0.05, then can said that influential dictation method in a way positive And significant impact on students' writing ability in English for Office , and based on comparison the value of Standardized Coefficients Beta, is known that Standardized Coefficients Beta value at class Experiment (0.950) more big from Standardized Coefficients Beta value at class Control (0.926). This indicates that use method dictation own more influence to students' writing skills in English for Office when compared to with influence English teaching without use method dictation. This result is in line with research conducted by Tsauri (2021); Arfe & Danzak (2020); Syakur (2020), that says dictation method in a way positive And significant impact on students' writing ability in English for Office.

The findings indicated that pupils who were exposed to the dictation approach shown improvements in spelling and reached higher levels of writing skill. This is in accordance with previous research which states that dictation approach shown improvements in spelling and reached higher levels of writing skill (Daulay et al., 2022; Robinson-Kooi & Hammond, 2020; Yolanda, 2019), that says dictation method in a way positive And significant impact on students' writing ability in English for Office. The findings indicated that pupils who were exposed to the dictation approach shown improvements in spelling and reached higher levels of writing skill. This is in accordance with previous research which states that dictation approach shown improvements in spelling and reached higher levels of writing skill (Daulay et al., 2022); (Robinson-Kooi & Hammond, 2020); (Yolanda, 2019). The research findings indicate that the utilization of the dictation method has a beneficial impact on the spelling and writing proficiency of students in Upper Basic Education. This result is supported by previous research which indicates that the utilization of the dictation method has a beneficial impact on the spelling and writing proficiency of students (Silalahi & Pratiwi, 2021; Sucharitrak, 2021). The study suggests that it would be beneficial for teachers in English language classrooms to introduce dictation activities to Upper Basic Education students. This practice is believed to strengthen their spelling proficiency and improve their ability to produce coherent compositions. In addition, it is recommended that English language instructors incorporate the dictation method as a fundamental component of their instructional approach for enhancing writing skills and fostering essay development within the classroom setting. The study proposes that educators of the English language should consider including dictation techniques, such as dicto-comp and split dictation, into their instructional practices. This approach aims to encourage student engagement and facilitate the exploration of sentence construction through interactive learning experiences.

CONCLUSION

There were 39 students who experienced an increase in learning outcomes and not a single student experienced a decrease in learning outcomes from Pre Test to Post Test in the Experiment class, and there were 37 students who experienced an increase in learning outcomes and 2 people experienced a decrease in results. learn from Pre Test to Post Test in Control class. This shows that there is a difference between students' writing abilities in English for Office who were treated with the dictation method and those who were not treated with the dictation method. Based on the Mann-Whitney Test, the Asymp value is known. Sig. (2-tailed) between the Experiment Class Post Test and the Control Class Post Test is 0.44, and because 0.44 < 0.05 it can be said that H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted, or in other words: the dictation method has a positive effect and significant to students' writing abilities in English for Office.



Based on the results of the t test on class experiment is known that calculated t value (18.569) > t table (1.68709) and Sig (0.000) < 0.05, then can said that influential dictation method in a way positive And significant impact on students' writing skills in English for Office, and based on comparison the value of Standardized Coefficients Beta, is known that Standardized Coefficients Beta value at class Experiment (0.950) more big from Standardized Coefficients Beta value at class Control (0.926). This indicates that use method dictation own more influence to students' writing skills in English for Office when compared to with influence English teaching without use method dictation.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Arfe, B., & Danzak, R. L. (2020). The influence of first language spelling and response inhibition skills on English-as-an-additional-language spelling. Cognitive Development, 56, 100952.
- Daulay, S. H., Dewi, U., & Pulungan, R. (2022). Using Digtogloss Dictation Method to Improve Students' Skills in Writing Narrative Text. AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan, 14(2), 1703–1710.
- Doan, L. D., & Hamid, M. O. (2021). Economics of English: Examining the demand for English proficiency in the Vietnamese job market. RELC Journal, 52(3), 618–634.
- Farrell, T. S. C., & Jacobs, G. M. (2020). Essentials for successful English language teaching. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Ghozali, I. (2017). Model persamaan struktural konsep dan aplikasi program. Edisi Ke-3. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponogoro.
- Harmer, J. (2004). Jeremy_Harmer_How_to_Teach_Writing_Longm. pdf (pp. 1-153).
- Indrilla, N., & Ciptaningrum, D. S. (2018). An Approach in Teaching Writing Skills: Does It Offer A New Insight In Enhancing Students Writing Ability. LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching, 21(2), 124–133.
- Jia, C., & Hew, K. F. T. (2022). Supporting lower-level processes in EFL listening: the effect on learners' listening proficiency of a dictation program supported by a mobile instant messaging app. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 35(1–2), 141–168.
- Kasransyah, S. A. (2016). Penerapan Metode Dictation dalam Mata Pelajaran Bahasa Inggris Kelas IV Pada MI Muhammadiyah 3 Al-Furqan Banjarmasin.
- Mandasari, B., & Aminatun, D. (2020). VLOG: A Tool To Improve Students" English Speaking Ability At University Level. Proceedings Universitas Pamulang, 1(1).
- Mohr, K. A. J., & Mohr, E. S. (2007). Extending English-language learners' classroom interactions using the Response Protocol. The Reading Teacher, 60(5), 440–450.
- Peltola, M. A., Kuja-Panula, J., Lauri, S. E., Taira, T., & Rauvala, H. (2011). AMIGO is an auxiliary subunit of the Kv2. 1 potassium channel. EMBO Reports, 12(12), 1293–1299.
- Robinson-Kooi, S., & Hammond, L. S. (2020). Using sentence dictation to practise and assess taught spelling and punctuation skills: a Year 2 Explicit Instruction intervention. Australian Journal of Learning Difficulties, 25(1), 83–108.
- Seyoum, W. M., Yigzaw, A., & Bewuketu, H. K. (2022). Students'attitudes And Problems On Question-Based Argumentative Essay Writing Instruction. Journal of English Language Teaching and Learning, 3(2), 58–63.
- Sianturi, R. (2022). Uji Homogenitas Sebagai Syarat Pengujian Analisis. Jurnal Pendidikan, Sains Sosial, Dan Agama, 8(1), 386–397. https://doi.org/10.53565/pssa.v8i1.507
- Silalahi, T., & Pratiwi, Y. (2021). The Usage Of Running Dictation Method To Improve The Students'writing Ability. Bilingual: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, 3(1), 21–30.
- Smeplass, E. (2023). Investigating adult learners' experiences from using slow reading as a pedagogical approach. International Journal of Educational Research, 122, 102252.



- Sucharitrak, S. (2021). Utilizing dictation techniques in process writing instruction: Improving undergraduate students' English writing performance. Manutsayasat Wichakan, 28(2), 265–310.
- Sugiyono. (2019). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R & D. In Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R & D.Bandung:Alfabeta. Alfabeta. https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781107415324.004
- Suparno, M. Y. (2008). Keterampilan dasar menulis. Jakarta: Universitas Terbuka.
- Syakur, A. (2020). Improving the eighth grade students' listening comprehension achievement by using dictation techniques. Konfrontasi: Jurnal Kultural, Ekonomi Dan Perubahan Sosial, 7(3), 205–216.
- Tarigan, H. G. (1986). Menulis: sebagai suatu keterampilan berbahasa. (No Title).
- Tsauri, S. S. (2021). The Effect Of Dictogloss On Students'listening Achievement. Jurnal Pajar (Pendidikan Dan Pengajaran), 5(2), 262–269.
- Yolanda, D. (2019). The Effect Of Running Dictation Method On Students'writing Ability In Procedure Text (A Studyatthe Xith Grade Students Of Sma Negeri 6 Padangsidimpuan). Jurnal Liner (Language Intelligence and Educational Research), 2(3), 40–56.
- Yu, X., Boers, F., & Tremblay, P. (2022). Learning multiword items through dictation and dictogloss: How task performance predicts learning outcomes. Language Teaching Research, 13621688221117242.